

Stability, Efficiency, Sustainability of African States as Compared to the West through the Lens of "In On Africa" Analytics

Научный руководитель – Красникова Лара Владимировна

Барвуолите Глафира Линасовна

Студент (бакалавр)

Московский государственный университет имени М.В.Ломоносова, Юридический факультет, Москва, Россия
E-mail: glafirafife@gmail.com

Project Plan **Introduction**

Relevance: The shift in global narratives—from perceiving Africa as a "passive aid recipient" to analyzing it as an independent center of power and economic growth

Object and Subject: A comparative analysis of African states and Western countries.

Objective: To assess the viability of African states across three key parameters (stability, efficiency, sustainability) and determine how these parameters differ from Western models, using IOA data to deconstruct stereotypes.

Chapter 1. Methodology of Comparison: The IOA Four-Dimensional Approach

- **1.1. Quadrants of Analysis.** Explanation of IOA's proprietary matrix, which evaluates countries across four blocks—Politics, Economics, Business Environment, and Society .
- **1.2. The "Data-Driven" Principle.** How IOA utilizes over 30 international indices and 19,000 data points to avoid subjective assessments and create an objective picture .

Chapter 2. Political Stability vs. Functionality

- **2.1. The Nature of Stability in Africa.** Analysis of cases in the most stable countries (e.g., Botswana, Rwanda). Arguing that stability in Africa is often the result of post-conflict consensus or strong institutions, rather than centuries of evolution as in Europe .
- **2.2. The "Fragile" Efficiency of the West.** Comparison with Western democracies, where institutions are stable but face an efficiency crisis (bureaucratization, populism), while African countries (e.g., Rwanda) demonstrate high speed of reform implementation.
- **2.3. Lessons in Leadership.** Using the example of Thuli Madonsela (South Africa) to show how institutional ethics and the independence of ombudsmen can create "islands of efficiency" even within complex political systems .

Chapter 3. Economic Efficiency: Resources, Infrastructure, and the Digital Leap

- **3.1. Rethinking the Resource Model.** Africa is not merely a "resource base." Analysis of the growing importance of rare earth metals and energy independence as levers for new economic sovereignty .
- **3.2. Infrastructural Pragmatism.** Privatization of railways and energy capacities as an example of African pragmatism in achieving efficiency where Western models of state regulation stall .

- **3.3. Efficiency through Technological Leapfrogging.** Digitalization of education and the development of the data economy. While the West modernizes old systems, Africa creates new ones from scratch (the phenomenon of satellites, mobile banking, and digital identification) .

Chapter 4. Sustainability: Social Ecology and Global Challenges

- **4.1. Climate Adaptability.** Energy storage projects and renewable sources as the foundation for African countries' resilience to external shocks .
- **4.2. Demographic Dividend and Social Sustainability.** Comparing the aging population of the West with Africa's young population. Investment in human capital (education, healthcare) as the foundation for long-term sustainability .
- **4.3. Food Security and Sovereignty.** Land tenure reform (case study of South Africa) as a balance between redressing historical injustice and economic efficiency .

Chapter 5. Deconstructing Myths: An African Perspective on the West

- **5.1. The Myth of "Natural" Superiority.** Analysis of how internal crises in the West (trade wars, populism) diminish its appeal as the sole model to emulate .
- **5.2. Reassembling Relations.** New relations with former colonial powers (France and the Sahel countries)—a shift from dependency to mutually beneficial partnership, where African countries dictate terms .
- **5.3. African Exceptionalism.** Conclusion that efficiency and stability in Africa are achieved not by copying Western templates, but through hybrid models (traditional institutions + modern technologies).

Conclusion

- The stability, efficiency, and sustainability of African states do not represent a catch-up model to the West, but an alternative trajectory of development. The West demonstrates inertial stability, while Africa exhibits high adaptive efficiency and dynamic sustainability.
- Understanding these differences is critically important for international business and policymakers when formulating strategies for engagement with the African continent.

Key Theses

- 1) Utilizing IOA analytics allows moving away from value judgments ("poor Africa" vs. "rich West") towards a systemic comparison based on 19,000+ data points. This reveals unexpected leadership positions of African countries in specific segments (e.g., digital technologies and adaptive governance) .
- 2) Political stability in Africa (as in Botswana or Rwanda) has a different nature than in Europe. If Western stability is "stability of outcome" (maintaining the achieved), then African stability is "stability of process" (managing development and conflict). This necessitates different criteria for efficiency .
- 3) African countries' pursuit of energy independence (through batteries and renewables) is not just an environmental agenda but a tool for achieving political sustainability and reducing vulnerability to external pressure .
- 4) In the economic sphere, African countries often win by focusing on regional integration (AfCFTA) and targeted infrastructure projects, whereas Western economies suffer from "scale inertia"—the complexity and unwieldiness of giant systems .

- 5) The case of France and Senegal/Mali demonstrates a paradigm shift: African states no longer accept the West's rules of the game by default, but reassemble them according to their national interests. This is the highest manifestation of political sustainability .
- 6) The development of statistical services and data analytics (data revolution) in Africa is a foundation for sustainability. The ability to measure and forecast allows African governments to act proactively rather than reactively, which favorably distinguishes them from some Western governments that operate "blindly" due to massive amounts of unstructured data .

Источники и литература

- 1) In On Africa. "Africa Country Benchmark Report (ACBR)." In On Africa, 2017. [Citation:5]
- 2) Mundell, Jonathan. "IOA releases Africa Country Benchmark Report." CNBC Africa, 18 Oct. 2017. [Citation:5]
- 3) Hern, Erin Accampo. "Theorizing in Comparative Politics: Democratization in Africa by Goran Hyden." Political Science Quarterly, vol. 140, no. 1, 2025, pp. 214-215. [Citation:2]
- 4) Ndour, Cheikh T., and Simplicie A. Asongu. "Governance and Intercommunal Armed Conflict: Evidence from 49 African Countries." Taylor & Francis Online, 2025. [Citation:10]
- 5) Simba, Hamis Miraji, et al. "An Assessment of the Nexus between Good Governance and GDP per Capita in Sub-Saharan African Countries." Sciendo, 2024, pp. 563-583. [Citation:4]
- 6) Teklie, Derese Kebede. "Analyses on environment and economic development in Africa." PhD Dissertation, Istanbul Technical University, 2025. [Citation:9]
- 7) In On Africa. "Africa's satellites: Miniaturisation allows more African nations to collect orbital data." In On Africa. [Citation:1]
- 8) In On Africa. "Africa's cautious embrace of cryptocurrencies." In On Africa. [Citation:1]
- 9) United Nations University - INRA. "Counting the Cost: From Extraction to Green Transition – Tackling the Dealbreakers of Finance, Justice and Development." UN in Ghana, 2025. [Citation:3]
- 10) United Nations Africa Renewal. "Office of the Special Adviser on Africa's 2025 Flagship Reports." UN Africa Renewal, 2025. [Citation:7]
- 11) GRIN Verlag. "Contextualizing Political Ideologies. A Comparative Analysis of Democracy in Western Nations and the Development of Tailored Governance Systems in Sub-Saharan Africa." Google Books, 2024. [Citation:6]