

**Russia's strategic priorities in the Arctic region: To what extent are their infrastructure projects effective?**

**Academic supervisor – Мусиева Джамиля Маллаевна**

*Лиесковски Мартин*

*Student (bachelor)*

Lomonosov Moscow State University, Факультет глобальных процессов, Образовательная программа «Глобальная энергетика и международный бизнес», Moscow, Россия

*E-mail: martin.lieskovsky1111@gmail.com*

This research assesses the effectiveness of Russia's ambitious infrastructure projects in the Arctic, a region of escalating geopolitical and economic importance. The study evaluates projects across three interconnected pillars: resource development, the Northern Sea Route (NSR), and military infrastructure, particularly in the context of post-2022 geopolitical shifts, sanctions, and Russia's strategic pivot to Asia.

The 21st-century global landscape, marked by the rise of new powers and the erosion of international diplomatic norms, compels leading states to pursue greater self-sufficiency. For Russia, the Arctic is central to this strategy. The region holds an estimated 84% of its Arctic hydrocarbons, including 13% of the world's undiscovered oil and 30% of its natural gas, alongside critical minerals like palladium and nickel [n9]. Climate change, with sea ice reaching record lows, is making these resources and the NSR increasingly accessible, intensifying global competition involving not only Arctic states (USA, Canada, Norway) but also external players like China and the EU.

Russia's Arctic strategy demonstrates significant, yet uneven, effectiveness, characterized by technological triumphs and critical vulnerabilities:

The Yamal LNG project is a flagship success, reaching 16.5 million tons annual capacity by 2023 with substantial Chinese investment [n12]. However, its successor, Arctic LNG 2, illustrates acute vulnerability. Western sanctions forced foreign partners to suspend participation, necessitating costly and delayed import substitution, including Chinese turbines [n6]. This highlights a persistent technological dependency in specialized equipment.

The Northern Sea Route (NSR) is considered a geostrategic instrument, experiencing a revolutionary transformation. Cargo volume hit a record 34 million tons in 2023, with navigation extended to 6-7 months [n13]. Russia's effectiveness here rests on three pillars:

1. Technology: Rosatom's monopoly on a fleet of 40 icebreakers (including 8 nuclear-powered) is unmatched [n5], compared to the US's two aging vessels, with limited plans to catch up [n11].
2. Control: Digital permits through the "Unified Operator" system allow Russia to regulate all cargo flow and monitor foreign vessels.
3. Infrastructure: Ports like Sabetta are linked to the Trans-Siberian Railway, creating a sanctions-resilient logistics loop.

However, this effectiveness reveals dependencies: while Russia controls the ice, China provides 90% of insurance and is leveraging contracts for technology transfer, suggesting future competitive leverage [n1].

Military Infrastructure: Russia has militarized the Arctic to secure its economic interests and developed a so-called "Security Umbrella". Bases like "Arctic Trilistnik" control NSR access, while Bastion missile systems and new Project 23550 combat icebreakers (armed with Kalibr missiles) create a "dual-use" deterrent, protecting resource extraction sites like Vostok Oil [n2]. This has prompted a symmetric NATO response, including expanded exercises and planned

missile deployments in Northern Norway, risking a future arms race.

International involvement: China's role is central and paradoxical. As a "near-Arctic state," Beijing is a critical investor (e.g., Yamal LNG), a key market (after expected EU ban on Russian LNG) [n7], and a provider of financial services. Simultaneously, it builds alternative access points (investing in a Norwegian port) [n10], controls rare earth processing, and extracts technology transfers, positioning itself as a future competitor rather than a permanent junior partner.

Institutional and Legal Challenges: The Arctic Council, the primary forum for cooperation, has been crippled since 2022, halting vital joint work on issues like permafrost thaw and black carbon [n14]. Russia's exclusion undermines the very concept of multilateralism in the region. Concurrently, legal disputes persist, including the Lomonosov Ridge claim and fundamental disagreements over whether the NSR is an international strait or internal Russian waters.

Furthermore, the recent developing global situation surrounding international law is being undermined by growing interceptions of the so called "shadow fleet" severely intensifying after the illegal abduction of the Venezuelan president Maduro, causing turbulence to deliverance of LNG gas from Arctic LNG terminals to Europe [n8]. While on the other hand, the ongoing war on Iran from Israel-US forces and their vassals, brings into perspective just how important alternative sources of critical infrastructural energetic resources such as gas and oil are.

Currently, Russia's Arctic infrastructure projects demonstrate substantial but incomplete effectiveness. The strengths are considerable: an unmatched icebreaker fleet and operational capacity, successful integration of military and economic assets, record growth in NSR cargo, and the creation of a closed, sanctions-resistant logistics system. However, weaknesses persist, including critical technological dependencies (particularly in LNG equipment), increased project costs and delays from sanctions, over-reliance on Chinese investment and insurance, and the legal vulnerability of the NSR's international status. Looking forward, opportunities include further NSR expansion to 80-100 million tons annually by 2030 and continued diversification to Asian markets [n3]. Yet these opportunities are facing the following threats: NATO's military buildup in Northern Europe and China's long-term strategic competition.

In conclusion, Russia has successfully forged the Arctic into a geostrategic instrument, securing its position as the region's dominant operational power. Yet, this effectiveness can be questioned by technological gaps, a paradoxical dependence on a rising China, and a deteriorating multilateral environment. The level of achievement of Russia's strategic priorities in the Arctic region will depend on its ability to withstand international pressure and maintain growth in its arctic projects.

### Источники и литература

- 1) Политика Китая в Арктике / Госсовет КНР. — Пекин: Первое изд., 2018. — 26 января. — URL: [http://english.www.gov.cn/archive/white\\_paper/2018/01/26/content\\_281476026660336.htm](http://english.www.gov.cn/archive/white_paper/2018/01/26/content_281476026660336.htm) (дата обращения: 19.01.2026).
- 2) Губин, А. (2022) Военные аспекты позиции России в Арктике. Российский совет по международным делам (РСМД), 20 сентября. URL: <https://russiangouncil.ru/analytics-and-comments/analytics/voennye-aspekty-pozitsii-rossii-v-arktike/> (дата обращения: 19.01.2026).
- 3) Гурьева, М. (2025) Чекунков: Севморпуть увеличит грузооборот до 100 млн тонн к 2030 году. РБК Приморье, 1 сентября — URL: <https://prim.rbc.ru/prim/01/09/2025/68b4bba09a79475997001bbe> (дата обращения: 28.01.2026).
- 4) Прокопьев Михаил Александрович Военное присутствие России в Арктическом регионе // Скиф. 2019. №6 (34). URL: <https://cyberleninka.ru/article/n/voennoe-prisutstvie-rossii-v-arkticheskom-regione> (дата обращения: 28.01.2026).

- 5) Росатом (2025) Росатом – инфраструктурный оператор Северного морского пути. Справочные материалы, 1 сентября — URL: <https://atommedia.online/reference/rosatom-infrastrukturniy-operator-severnogo-morskogo-puti/> (дата обращения: 28.01.2026).
- 6) Andersson, P. (2025) Polar Partners: What Sino-Russian Arctic Cooperation Means for Europe. China Observers, 25 Февраля — URL: <https://chinaobservers.eu/polar-partners-what-sino-russian-arctic-cooperation-means-for-europe/> (дата обращения: 05.01.2026).
- 7) Council of the European Union (2026) Russian gas imports: Council gives final green light to a stepwise ban (Document 56/26). Press release, 26 Января. — URL: <https://www.consilium.europa.eu/en/press/press-releases/2026/01/26/russian-gas-imports-council-gives-final-greenlight-to-a-stepwise-ban/> (дата обращения: 15.01.2026).
- 8) Funk, V. (2026) Mediterranean Sea: Attack on LNG ship from Russian shadow fleet. Table.Briefings, 5 Марта. URL: <https://table.media/en/security/news-en/mediterranean-sea-attack-on-lng-ship-from-russian-shadow-fleet> (дата обращения: 6.03.2026).
- 9) Gautier, D.L., Bird, K.J., Charpentier, R.R., Grantz, A., Houseknecht, D.W., Klett, T.R., Moore, T.E., Pitman, J.K., Schenk, C.J., Schuenemeyer, J.H., Sørensen, K., Tennyson, M.E., Valin, Z.C. and Wandrey, C.J. (2008) 'Circum-Arctic Resource Appraisal: Estimates of Undiscovered Oil and Gas North of the Arctic Circle', U.S. Geological Survey Fact Sheet 2008-3049 – URL: <http://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/2008/3049/> (дата обращения: 15.01.2026). — С. 1 - 4.
- 10) Hao, N. (2025) Polar Silk Road: China's plan B for global trade. ThinkChina, 21 Октября. URL: <https://www.thinkchina.sg/economy/polar-silk-road-chinas-plan-b-global-trade> (дата обращения: 15.01.2026).
- 11) National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine (2005) Polar Icebreaker Roles and U.S. Future Needs: A Preliminary Assessment. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press — URL: <https://doi.org/10.17226/9128> (дата обращения: 2.02.2026). — С. 17.
- 12) Reuters (2024): Russian LNG exports to Europe fell 1.9% in 2023 - LSEG data. 2 Января — URL: <https://www.reuters.com/business/energy/russian-lng-exports-europe-fell-19-2023-lseg-data-2024-01-02/> (дата обращения: 15.01.2026).
- 13) ROSATOM Asia Pte Ltd (2024) Historical record of the Northern Sea Route: the cargo carriage volume in 2023 exceeded 36.254 mln. tons. 11 Января — URL: <https://rosatom-asia.com/press-centre/news/historical-record-of-the-northern-sea-route-the-cargo-carriage-volume-in-2023-exceeded-36-254-mln-to/> (дата обращения: 08.01.2026).
- 14) Russia and the Arctic Region – 2025 Arctic Council Secretariat – URL: <https://arctic-council.org/about/states/russian-federation/> (дата обращения: 20.01.2026).